

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,345	04/29/2004	Scott LaDell Vance	U04.0033.84 3344	
24239 MOORE & VA	7590 08/08/2007 AN ALLEN PLLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 13706		HUANG,	HUANG, WEN WU	
Research Trian	gle Park, NC 27709		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
•	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	<del>,,,,</del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/709,345	VANCE, SCOTT LADELL
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Wen W. Huang	2618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,9-14,22,25-31,33,35-37 and 40-4a</u> ) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 9-14, 22, 25-31, 33, 35-37 and Claim(s)</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  1 40-45 is/are rejected.	on.
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice 3) Information	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/709,345

Art Unit: 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 2, 6-8,15-21,23,24,32,34,38,39 and 46-48 are canceled.

Claims 1, 3-5, 9-14, 22, 25-31, 33, 35-37 and 40-45 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 22, 31 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1, 22, 31 and 37 recite the limitation, "a change in a direction of force due to gravity". However, nowhere in the specification of the instant application has support for a change in a direction of force due to gravity. The Examiner submits that the specification of the instant application teaches a tilt sensor sensing an angle theta and applying trigonometry math to expressing the sensed angle. Paragraphs [0030]-[0033] of the specification of the instant application disclose the tilt sensor for sensing a change (from a normalized or zero angle of 45 degree) in the angle theta. Even though, the instant application discloses that sine and cosine of the sensed angle theta is

Art Unit: 2618

multiplied with constants K and Fg (force due to gravity) (wherein KFg equal 1) to produce a unit-less quantity in X and Y orientations. Nevertheless, the Examiner submits that it is well known basic physics that both the direction and the force due to the gravity are constant. Therefore, the specification of the instant application does not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use a tilt sensor sensing a change in a direction of force due to gravity.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 22, 31 and 37 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1, 22, 31 and 37 recite the limitation, the hands-free push-to-talk sensor or switch "is operable without a button or other actuator having to be bodily contacted and manually operated by a user".

In light of the specification, the Examiner assumes the interpretation that the claimed hands-free push-to-talk sensor or switch is corresponding to the PTT senor/witch 102 of Fig. 1 and Button 118 is not a part of PTT sensor/switch. However, the Examiner submits that the specification (para. [0019], last sentence) of the instant application explicitly discloses that Button 118 is used to enable the PTT functionality. Thus, the Examiner submits that based on the broadest and most reasonable interpretation, one of ordinary skill artisan could also interpret Button 118 is part of PTT senor/switch because Button 118 is needed for PTT functionality.

Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claims 1, 22, 31 and 37, the prior art of record is silent to teaching a

PTT sensor/switching including a tilt sensor for sensing a change in a direction of force

Allowable Subject Matter

due to gravity.

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 22, 31 and 37 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Lair et al. (US. PUB NO. 2007/0004464 A1) teach a PTT headset.

Alcivar (US. 3,746,789) teaches a user's breathing noise activating VOX switch

circuit.

Basu et al. (US. PUB NO. 2003/0018475 A1) teach a method for audio-visual

speech detection and recognition.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/709,345 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen W. Huang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7852. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,345

Art Unit: 2618

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wwh

 $\sim$ 

8/6/01/

MATTHEW ANDERSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER